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WHAT IS AN ABANDONMENT?

Permanent isolation of:

- groundwater formations of varying salinities outside casing from each other and from hydrocarbon formations and,
- porous hydrocarbon formations both inside and outside of casing, from each other and to surface.

Accomplished by multiple means including setting bridge plugs, permanent packers, remedial cementing operations and spotting cement plugs.

Surface recovery

- Casing strings must be cut off below ground level according to local regulations
- Casing strings must be capped with steel plates installed in a manner which will not allow pressure build up, but will stop access to casing
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ABANDONMENT CATEGORIES & ISSUES

Categories:
- Open Hole
- Cased Hole
- Routine
- Non Routine

Potential Issues:
- Base Ground Water Protection (BGWP)
- Gas leaks
  - Sustained Annular Casing Gas (Surface Casing Vent Flow)
- Gas Migration
- Porosity Isolation
OPEN HOLE ABANDONMENTS

For the abandonment of an open-hole well, the licensee must set cement plugs of sufficient length and number to:

- cover all non-saline groundwater to the BGWP
- cover all porous zones to eliminate cross-flow
Cased hole abandonments must

- Abandon each completed pool separately
- Ensure cement top in well covers both porosity intervals and groundwater zones, if not:
  - Perform remedial cementing operations on porosity intervals and all non-saline groundwater and protected intervals as required
ROUTINE ABANDONMENTS

Routine well abandonment is a “normal” well abandonment that meets all regulatory criteria pertaining to:

- the type of well being abandoned
- the wells geographic location
- the impact of the well on any producing zones / wells
- the absence of a wellbore problem
Non-routine abandonment consists of operations that do not fall under routine requirements. Examples of Non-Routine abandonments are:

- wellbore problems such as; fish in the hole over two porous zones, a leaking plug, a ghost hole across two or more formations
- re-abandonment of a well
- surface abandonment where cement doesn’t cover all ground water zones
- planned use of cement plugs that do not meet regulatory requirements
- setting of a plug not meeting regulatory requirements
**BASE OF GROUNDWATER PROTECTION**

*Base Groundwater* is defined as any water strata with a Total Dissolved Salt (TDS) content less than 4000 mg/litre.

- Any useable aquifers which are not covered by the Surface Casing or which are above the cement top on the production casing will require remedial cementing operations to isolate from hydrocarbon sources and/or other protected intervals.
Sustained Annular Casing Gas (Surface Casing Vent Flow)

- Surface Casing Vent Flow is the flow of gas and / or liquid or any combination out of the surface casing / casing annulus
- A failed SCVF test may be as low as one bubble in 10 minutes

Gas Migration

- Gas Migration is the flow of gas that is detectable at surface; outside the outermost casing string
- Source may be either above or below surface casing shoe
REMEDIAL CEMENTING REPAIR

- Formation access via removing casing, perforating, milling or slotting casing

- Remedial cementing with either circulation to surface or

- If circulation not achieved, but feed-rate established, cement squeeze must be completed using multiple perforations and remedial squeezes, without exceeding the formation fracture pressure.

Operator must evaluate wellbore to ensure all protected intervals above the BGWP are isolated from each other.
GAS TRAVEL THROUGH CEMENT SLURRY

Cement loses ability to hold hydrostatic pressure during transition from slurry to gel
- After cement placement do not release pressure
- Use retainer
- Maintain artificial hydrostatic after squeeze
  - Allows for gas to channel
PRESENT PERFORATING METHODS

Perforating phasing has an impact on the connectivity of cement sheath and formation

Shaped Charge type has an impact on the perforating channel geometry. i.e. DP, BH or Reactive Liner

Alternate Methods

Abraza-jetting – can achieve 480° coverage within 1 m

Section milling totally removes the casing
ALTERNATIVE PERFORATING METHOD:
SLOTTED-CHARGE PERFORATING GUN SYSTEM
PERFORATING SYSTEM DESIGN

- Standard Linear Shaped Charges
- Unsuitable for this application
  - Insufficient or non-perforation of slots in casing
  - No control of charge design
PERFORATING CHARGE DESIGN

- Rectangular Shaped Charge
- Liner Geometry
- Liner composition
- Point of initiation
- Integrate to standard perforating hardware
PERFORATING: SINGLE SHOT TESTS

➤ 30g HMX-St Slotted Charge

➤ 86mm-13spm-20° for 4 ½“ to 5 ½“ Casing

➤ Penetration: ~6 inches in concrete. Difficult to measure accurately due to target shattering
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PERFORATING GUN SYSTEM

Zonal Isolation:

- 360° circumferential access to the annulus between casing and well
- Provide access to voids in the previous cement job and formation

Multiple Casings:

- Perforation is restricted to inner lying casing
- Create rectangular slots in inner casing with no damage to outer casing
TESTING OF CASING INTEGRITY

- Reference test set-up to confirm limited entry and no perforation of outer casing
- Gun 3 3/8”-4spf-20°
- 4 1/2” (11.6 lbs/ft) Casing inside 7” (32lbs/ft) L-80 Casing
TESTING OF CASING INTEGRITY

- No visible damage to inner wall of 7" casing
- 3 3/8" Gun Swell -94mm
  Drift Gauge 94mm/3.7"
- 18 shots (4.5ft) required for 360° helix perforation
- Overlap from slot to slot in 4 ½" casing ~ 18mm (50% of width)
 TESTING OF CASING INTEGRITY

Properties and condition of casing pipe (L 80 with 11.6 lbs/ft) after perforation:

Yield Strength Testing

➤ Clausthal University (ITE)
  Institute of Petroleum Engineering

➤ Computer controlled test frame with displacement sensor

➤ Free length of 4 ½“ casing in frame 1230mm

➤ Maximum load of test frame is 1300KN
TESTING OF CASING INTEGRITY

- Visible widening of perforated slots from tensile test
- Loss in tensile strength in casing ~11%
- Loss in yield strength in casing ~15%
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RESULTS OF FIRST FIELD TESTS

3 wells were perforated using 3 3/8” guns of 1.3m length with 18 charges each to cover 360°

#1: Perf interval 248,0m – 250,8m
   ➔ Job needed acidizing to pump cement
   ➔ Well monitored for 2 months w/o gas migration

#2: Perf depth 680m
   ➔ No cement could be displaced
   ➔ Re-perf in different zone with BH charges allowed successful cement job

#3: Perf depth 302m
   ➔ After exceeding anticipated squeeze pressure well was cemented
   ➔ Well monitored for 2 months w/o gas migration
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VIDEO OF SLOT PERFORATION (JOB #1)

Temperature: 33.50°C

247.71 m
0.20 m/min

Res: Medium

4.30°
IMAGE OF SLOT PERFORATION (JOB #1)
Thank you.

Questions?